MARCH 2017

CALFRESH DATA DASHBOARD: ANALYSIS OF STATE TRENDS

APRIL – JUNE 2016 DATA

SF·MARIN
FOOD BANK

Contents

Overview

This report provides an analysis of CalFresh performance data trends as reported in the CalFresh Data Dashboard.[1] It identifies trends in CalFresh participation both statewide and by county. It also summarizes performance in areas that influence CalFresh participation and consumer experience: timeliness, dual enrollment rates between CalFresh and Medi-Cal, and churn. Data tables at the end of this report allow for at-a-glance comparisons of CalFresh performance for related metrics (e.g. participation) by county, over time, and compared to similarly-sized counties.

---

[1] CalFresh Data Dashboard website as of January 20, 2017. Note that data files are subject to change. http://www.cdss.ca.gov/research/PG3575.htm

Participation Trends
CalFresh participation began to decline slightly in 2016, after a steady rise through 2015.

CalFresh participation is declining slightly statewide.

- Participation in this quarter (Q2 2016) decreased 2% over the same quarter in the prior year and 1.4% compared to the most recent quarter.
- Forty-one counties showed *flat or negative* growth in the number of participants during the prior year, and the same number showed a negative trend in the last quarter.
- The largest quarterly declines in the number of participants were in Sierra, Mendocino, Los Angeles and San Mateo counties.
- The only county that showed strong growth in the last quarter was Colusa (8.2%); Glenn and Monterey counties had moderate growth (2.1% and 1.8%, respectively).

CalFresh Persons Participating

4,369,738

Legend:
— Persons Participating
— % change over prior year
— % change over prior quarter

CalFresh Participation vs. Program Reach Index

4,369,738

70%

55% 57% 58% 63% 67%

Legend:
■ PRI (Old Method) — Persons Participating
— PRI (New Method)

The **Program Reach Index (PRI)**, at right, shows the estimated CalFresh participation rate among individuals with incomes below 130% FPL, excluding the estimated number of that group who receive SSI/SSP or who are undocumented immigrants. In 2015, CDSS refined that methodology to more comprehensively exclude categorically ineligible individuals.[2] The PRI has been steadily increasing since 2010. Based on the new methodology, the statewide PRI is 70% in 2015.

Charts on the following pages show PRI, annual growth rates, and growth rates since December 2011 by county.

---

[2] See detailed methodology here: http://www.cdss.ca.gov/research/PG3575.htm

Program Reach Index 2015
(New Methodology)

Large
Participation ≥ 100k

Medium
Participation 20k - 100k

Small
Participation < 20k

The PRI varies dramatically by county. Counties with a PRI of 90% or higher include: San Bernardino, San Joaquin, Tulare, Madera, Imperial, and Del Norte.

Annual Change in CalFresh Participants
June 2016 compared to June 2015

Change in CalFresh Participants Since December 2011
June 2016

Dual enrollment between CalFresh and Medi-Cal
Counties still waiting on CDSS analysis of most important metrics

Many Californians who received health insurance through Medi-Cal are also eligible to receive CalFresh. While California has been the **best** state at signing up eligible people for health coverage, it is historically among the **worst** at signing up eligible people for CalFresh. Charts on the next page show CalFresh enrollment rates for all Medi-Cal participants, and vice versa.


Dual Enrollment of Medi-Cal Clients vs. CalFresh PRI for State and Counties

- Statewide, 32% of all Medi-Cal participants are also enrolled in CalFresh.
- There is a strong correlation between CalFresh enrollment rates among Medi-Cal participants and the CalFresh Program Access Index.
- Tulare and Fresno counties have the strongest CalFresh enrollments among Medi-Cal participants, at 47% and 46%.
- Nine counties have more than 40% of all Medi-Cal clients receiving CalFresh, suggesting that this may be a reasonable target for this metric.
- Enrollment of CalFresh clients on Medi-Cal is incredibly high across all counties; Statewide, 94% of all CalFresh recipients also receive Medi-Cal.
- No county has lower than 90% of CalFresh recipients on Medi-Cal.

Counties are still waiting on CDSS to provide information about CalFresh enrollment rates among Medi-Cal clients who are the mostly likely to be eligible for the program. ATC has worked with CDSS to develop a methodology track those rates, but the state has yet to finalize it and post the findings. Understanding those enrollment rates, along with demographic breakdowns of sub-populations within the likely-CalFresh-eligible Medi-Cal population, will give counties an important roadmap for targeted in-reach and streamlined dual enrollment processes.

% of All Medi-Cal Participants on CalFresh
April - June 2016

Target = 40%
(based actual highest rates)

Large
Participation ≥ 100k

Medium
Participation 20k - 100k

Small
Participation < 20k

Dual Participation - CalFresh Participants on Medi-Cal
April - June 2016

Target = 90%
(based on actual highest rates)

Large
Participation ≥ 100k

Medium
Participation 20k - 100k

Small
Participation < 20k

Same Day Service

Overall timeliness is improving, except among the most vulnerable applicants – those who are entitled to expedited service.

Statewide, overall timeliness metrics are improving, except for applicants entitled to expedited service within 3 days.

- As of March 2016, it took an average of 11.4 days to approve benefits, including both regular and expedited service.[3]
- Average days to approval have declined statewide from a high of nearly 15 days in September 2014.
- This rate varied widely from county to county, Sierra was fastest (4.7 days) Colusa was slowest (27 days).
- Statewide, only 2% of all approved applications exceeded the 30-day mandate. 50 counties approved at least 95% of all applications within 30 days. The worst rate for this metric was 83% in Colusa County.

Statewide Timeliness Non-Compliance Rates

ES approvals >3 days

All approvals >30 days

Statewide Average Days to Approval for All Applications
Improving over the last two years

14.4

11.4

■ Avg Days to Approval

- Processing of applications entitled to expedited service was less compliant, with 8% exceeding the 3-day mandate. In all but five counties, 80% or more of those entitled to expedited service received benefits within 1-3 days. Most counties (46 out of 58) succeeded in providing timely expedited service 90% of the time or more.

See following page for additional county level timeliness charts.

---

[3] Statewide data on average processing time is only available through March 2016 as of 1/20/2017.

Timeliness Non-Compliance
% of All Applications Approved in > 30 Days
April - June 2016

Large
Participation ≥ 100k

Medium
Participation 20k - 100k

Small
Participation < 20k

Timeliness Non-Compliance
% of Applicants Entitled to Expedited Service Receiving Benefits in > 3 Days
April - June 2016

Large
Participation ≥ 100k

Medium
Participation 20k - 100k

Small
Participation < 20k

*Solano county was
missing data as of
1/20/2016.

8

Churn

Renewal churn rates are rising; Applications from churn remain steady near 20% with some seasonal fluctuations

Many CalFresh recipients fall off of the program due to the administrative challenges of completing required reporting. As of March 2016,[4] **nearly one third of cases with a recertification due statewide did not receive benefits in the following month. Approximately half of those cases reapplied within the following 90 days.**

Statewide Renewal Churn

■ % of Recerts without CF in Following Month
■ % Recerts w/o CF in following month, Reapply w/in 90 days

Churning applications represent a lot of additional county work in the form of new applications. **Twenty percent of all applications had received CalFresh benefits within the past 90 days, and 12% had been on the program within 30 days of reapplying.** These rates are fairly stable over time, with what appear to be seasonal increases during the last quarter of each year.

Statewide Applications from Churn

% of Applications on CalFresh:
■ in previous 90 days
■ in previous 30 days

A few data issues remain with respect to churn figures. Most notably, there are systematic differences in outcomes between consortia, which raises the question of whether the consortia are calculating the figures the same way. Better churn rates, on average, in CalWIN counties and Los Angeles may be a result of differing formula for calculation, better protocols and systems for addressing churn, or both. Because of these discrepancies between consortia, this analysis does not include a summary of counties in the top 10 and 20 for various metrics.

---

[4] Data is not yet available for later quarters.

Renewal Churn: Recertifications without CalFresh in the following month, Reapply
January - March 2016

Applications from Churn
January - March 2016

CalFresh Data Dashboard Summary

Data from April - June 2016	Consortium	PRI 2015 %	Trend since 2010	Persons Participating Total #	Annual change #	Annual Change %	Change since Oct-Dec 2011 %	Trend (Best qtr is marked)	Unemployment Annual Change 2014 to 2015 % Pts
United States (6/16)				43,376,981	(2,133,170)	-5%	-7%		-1%
California		70%		4,369,738	(93,061)	-2.1%	12%		-1%
Large Counties (Participation ≥ 100k)									
Los Angeles	LRS	66%		1,115,699	-62,583	-5%	6%		-1%
San Bernardino	C-IV	93%		392,070	-6,453	-2%	14%		-1%
Riverside	C-IV	69%		287,669	-2,562	-1%	13%		-2%
San Diego	CalWIN	57%		287,392	-1,697	-1%	22%		-2%
Orange	CalWIN	61%		254,598	-64	0%	24%		-2%
Fresno	CalWIN	87%		224,870	-4,518	-2%	8%		-2%
Sacramento	CalWIN	83%		215,894	-3,202	-1%	8%		-1%
Kern	C-IV	77%		165,582	8,466	5%	24%		-2%
Tulare	CalWIN	91%		125,132	-137	0%	18%		-1%
San Joaquin	C-IV	92%		118,036	-4,434	-4%	15%		-1%
Alameda	CalWIN	64%		117,298	-2,492	-2%	-3%		-2%
Santa Clara	CalWIN	69%		105,301	-6,684	-6%	6%		-1%
Medium Counties (Participation 20k - 100k)									
Stanislaus	C-IV	87%		89,096	-3,608	-4%	5%		0%
Ventura	CalWIN	83%		75,635	107	0%	20%		-1%
Contra Costa	CalWIN	56%		69,736	-1,587	-2%	4%		0%
Merced	C-IV	74%		57,715	-1,266	-2%	12%		-2%
Monterey	C-IV	76%		55,488	498	1%	42%		-1%
San Francisco	CalWIN	51%		52,749	1,300	3%	3%		-2%
Solano	CalWIN	67%		42,828	-1,002	-2%	10%		-2%
Imperial	C-IV	91%		42,432	0	0%	20%		-1%
Santa Barbara	CalWIN	51%		40,218	2,252	6%	32%		-1%
Sonoma	CalWIN	62%		34,425	-1,665	-5%	8%		-2%
Butte	C-IV	60%		32,218	291	1%	15%		-1%
San Mateo	CalWIN	44%		30,014	-1,933	-6%	20%		-1%
Madera	C-IV	92%		29,647	-285	-1%	16%		-2%
Santa Cruz	CalWIN	58%		27,613	1,339	5%	40%		-1%
Kings	C-IV	69%		24,891	198	1%	12%		-1%
Shasta	C-IV	69%		24,569	-611	-2%	1%		-1%
Humboldt	C-IV	66%		21,361	486	2%	43%		-1%
Yolo	CalWIN	51%		21,293	702	3%	32%		-1%
Small Counties (Participation <20k)									
San Luis Obispo	CalWIN	41%		18,513	-65	0%	8%		-1%
Placer	CalWIN	47%		17,795	-530	-3%	-1%		-1%
Yuba	C-IV	72%		13,507	-10	0%	4%		-2%
Sutter	C-IV	59%		13,467	307	2%	20%		-1%
El Dorado	C-IV	65%		12,850	-411	-3%	14%		-2%
Mendocino	C-IV	69%		12,720	-288	-2%	-4%		-2%
Lake	C-IV	83%		12,649	692	6%	28%		-1%
Marin	C-IV	45%		10,351	-134	-1%	15%		-1%
Tehama	C-IV	70%		10,196	-175	-2%	10%		-2%
Nevada	C-IV	43%		7,768	93	1%	22%		-1%
Napa	C-IV	38%		7,533	-120	-2%	8%		-1%
Siskiyou	C-IV	62%		6,942	-190	-3%	20%		-1%
San Benito	C-IV	78%		5,850	-433	-7%	-4%		-1%
Tuolumne	C-IV	62%		5,493	-66	-1%	8%		-1%
Del Norte	C-IV	91%		5,369	223	4%	3%		-2%
Calaveras	C-IV	83%		5,156	-353	-6%	8%		-1%
Glenn	C-IV	56%		3,655	-27	-1%	12%		-2%
Amador	C-IV	73%		3,415	-23	-1%	13%		-1%
Lassen	C-IV	85%		3,154	-58	-2%	6%		-1%
Plumas	C-IV	59%		2,239	88	4%	48%		-2%
Mariposa	C-IV	69%		2,101	-26	-1%	23%		-2%
Inyo	C-IV	80%		2,089	16	1%	14%		-2%
Trinity	C-IV	56%		1,757	-51	-3%	20%		-2%
Colusa	C-IV	40%		1,434	-291	-17%	-12%		-2%
Modoc	C-IV	50%		1,050	38	4%	5%		-2%
Mono	C-IV	69%		790	-62	-7%	39%		-1%
Sierra	C-IV	60%		271	-53	-16%	13%		-1%
Alpine	C-IV	67%		155	-8	-5%	8%		-2%

SF-Marin Food Bank
Data from CalFresh Extranet as of 1/20/2017
For questions, contact Diana Jensen at djensen@sfmfoodbank.org - 3/21/2017 - Page 1

CalFresh Data Dashboard Summary

Data from April - June 2016	Consortium	PRI	Dual Program Participation	
			All Medi-Cal on CF	CalFresh on Medi-Cal
Trends since Oct - Dec 2011 — Unless noted in red — Top 10 performers / Next 10 performers		2015 %	Actual Rate (Target = 40%) >= 40% / >= 30% / < 30%	Actual Rate (Target = 95%) >= 95% / >= 90% / < 90%
United States (6/16)				
California		**70%**	**32%**	**94%**
Large Counties (Participation ≥ 100k)				
Los Angeles	LRS	66%	22%	90%
San Bernardino	C-IV	93%	41%	92%
Riverside	C-IV	69%	31%	91%
San Diego	CalWIN	57%	29%	91%
Orange	CalWIN	61%	26%	93%
Fresno	CalWIN	87%	46%	95%
Sacramento	CalWIN	83%	36%	94%
Kern	C-IV	77%	39%	96%
Tulare	CalWIN	91%	47%	97%
San Joaquin	C-IV	92%	38%	96%
Alameda	CalWIN	64%	25%	93%
Santa Clara	CalWIN	69%	22%	95%
Medium Counties (Participation 20k - 100k)				
Stanislaus	C-IV	87%	35%	96%
Ventura	CalWIN	83%	29%	93%
Contra Costa	CalWIN	56%	24%	93%
Merced	C-IV	74%	40%	97%
Monterey	C-IV	76%	29%	97%
San Francisco	CalWIN	51%	21%	90%
Solano	CalWIN	67%	32%	93%
Imperial	C-IV	91%	44%	97%
Santa Barbara	CalWIN	51%	27%	96%
Sonoma	CalWIN	62%	25%	93%
Butte	C-IV	60%	37%	94%
San Mateo	CalWIN	44%	18%	93%
Madera	C-IV	92%	41%	97%
Santa Cruz	CalWIN	58%	32%	94%
Kings	C-IV	69%	42%	96%
Shasta	C-IV	69%	36%	95%
Humboldt	C-IV	66%	36%	92%
Yolo	CalWIN	51%	33%	92%
Small Counties (Participation <20k)				
San Luis Obispo	CalWIN	41%	28%	94%
Placer	CalWIN	47%	24%	90%
Yuba	C-IV	72%	40%	96%
Sutter	C-IV	59%	30%	97%
El Dorado	C-IV	65%	31%	93%
Mendocino	C-IV	69%	29%	95%
Lake	C-IV	83%	38%	96%
Marin	C-IV	45%	22%	95%
Tehama	C-IV	70%	36%	97%
Nevada	C-IV	43%	28%	94%
Napa	C-IV	38%	22%	95%
Siskiyou	C-IV	62%	36%	96%
San Benito	C-IV	78%	30%	95%
Tuolumne	C-IV	62%	35%	94%
Del Norte	C-IV	91%	43%	97%
Calaveras	C-IV	83%	39%	93%
Glenn	C-IV	56%	29%	97%
Amador	C-IV	73%	38%	92%
Lassen	C-IV	85%	38%	94%
Plumas	C-IV	59%	32%	91%
Mariposa	C-IV	69%	41%	96%
Inyo	C-IV	80%	34%	95%
Trinity	C-IV	56%	34%	95%
Colusa	C-IV	40%	16%	98%
Modoc	C-IV	50%	33%	95%
Mono	C-IV	69%	20%	92%
Sierra	C-IV	60%	30%	92%
Alpine	C-IV	67%	39%	95%

SF-Marin Food Bank
Data from CalFresh Extranet as of 1/20/2017
For questions, contact Diana Jensen at djensen@sfmfoodbank.org - 3/21/2017 - Page 2

CalFresh Data Dashboard Summary

| Data from April - June 2016 | Consortium | PRI | Timeliness - Providing Same Day Service | | | | |
| | | | All Applicants | | | Expedited Service | |
		2015 %	Average Days (2016 Q1) #	Trend since Oct-Dec 2011	Approvals > 30 days (Best qtr is marked)	Trend since Oct-Dec 2011 (Best qtr is marked)	Those entitled to ES receiving benefits in > 1-3 days (Best qtr is marked)	Trend since Oct-Dec 2011 (Best qtr is marked)
United States (6/16)								
California		70%	11.4		2%		8%	
Los Angeles	LRS	66%	15.7		4%		17%	
San Bernardino	C-IV	93%	8.3		1%		4%	
Riverside	C-IV	69%	9.7		1%		5%	
San Diego	CalWIN	57%	11.3		1%		2%	
Orange	CalWIN	61%	5.7		1%		1%	
Fresno	CalWIN	87%	7.7		5%		3%	
Sacramento	CalWIN	83%	6.7		1%		1%	
Kern	C-IV	77%	12.7		1%		6%	
Tulare	CalWIN	91%	5.3		1%		1%	
San Joaquin	C-IV	92%	12.3		2%		9%	
Alameda	CalWIN	64%	10.3		4%		7%	
Santa Clara	CalWIN	69%	7.7		1%		1%	
Stanislaus	C-IV	87%	8.0		1%		8%	
Ventura	CalWIN	83%	9.0		1%		3%	
Contra Costa	CalWIN	56%	11.3		4%		2%	
Merced	C-IV	74%	12.0		1%		4%	
Monterey	C-IV	76%	11.0		0%		3%	
San Francisco	CalWIN	51%	7.7		2%		10%	
Solano	CalWIN	67%	11.7		1%		NA	NA
Imperial	C-IV	91%	10.0		3%		6%	
Santa Barbara	CalWIN	51%	11.3		1%		7%	
Sonoma	CalWIN	62%	18.0		14%		41%	
Butte	C-IV	60%	18.0		9%		6%	
San Mateo	CalWIN	44%	9.7		2%		12%	
Madera	C-IV	92%	10.0		1%		3%	
Santa Cruz	CalWIN	58%	12.0		4%		3%	
Kings	C-IV	69%	9.0		1%		3%	
Shasta	C-IV	69%	14.0		3%		2%	
Humboldt	C-IV	66%	10.7		1%		2%	
Yolo	CalWIN	51%	8.0		3%		7%	
San Luis Obispo	CalWIN	41%	11.3		3%		2%	
Placer	CalWIN	47%	9.0		2%		9%	
Yuba	C-IV	72%	10.7		0%		2%	
Sutter	C-IV	59%	15.7		4%		10%	
El Dorado	C-IV	65%	10.0		2%		1%	
Mendocino	C-IV	69%	10.7		7%		3%	
Lake	C-IV	83%	11.0		5%		7%	
Marin	C-IV	45%	10.7		1%		7%	
Tehama	C-IV	70%	14.3		2%		5%	
Nevada	C-IV	43%	12.3		6%		13%	
Napa	C-IV	38%	14.3		1%		4%	
Siskiyou	C-IV	62%	19.3		7%		12%	
San Benito	C-IV	78%	12.7		3%		7%	
Tuolumne	C-IV	62%	13.3		2%		2%	
Del Norte	C-IV	91%	14.0		1%		6%	
Calaveras	C-IV	83%	11.3		1%		4%	
Glenn	C-IV	56%	8.3		0%		1%	
Amador	C-IV	73%	10.3		1%		4%	
Lassen	C-IV	85%	15.0		6%		25%	
Plumas	C-IV	59%	16.0		0%		12%	
Mariposa	C-IV	69%	15.3		3%		4%	
Inyo	C-IV	80%	7.3		2%		11%	
Trinity	C-IV	56%	12.7		2%		28%	
Colusa	C-IV	40%	27.0		17%		1%	
Modoc	C-IV	50%	11.3		0%		13%	
Mono	C-IV	69%	10.0		3%		1%	
Sierra	C-IV	60%	4.7		0%		0%	
Alpine	C-IV	67%	8.0		0%		36%	

Legend:
- Trends since Oct - Dec 2011 Unless noted in red
- Top 10 performers
- Next 10 performers
- Approvals > 30 days / ES: < 5%, < 10%, >=10%

Row groups: Large Counties (Participation ≥ 100k); Medium Counties (Participation 20k - 100k); Small Counties (Participation <20k)

SF-Marin Food Bank
Data from CalFresh Extranet as of 1/20/2017
For questions, contact Diana Jensen at djensen@sfmfoodbank.org - 3/21/2017 - Page 3

CalFresh Data Dashboard Summary

Data from April - June 2016 / Trends since Oct - Dec 2011 / Unless noted in red	Consortium	PRI 2015 %	Reducing Churn (Data through 2016 Q1)							
			Renewal Churn				Applications from Churn			
			cases without CF in following month %	Trend since Oct-Dec 2011	e recerts cases that reapply within 90 days %	Trend since Oct-Dec 2011	Apps that were on CF in prior 30 days %	Trend since Oct-Dec 2011	Apps on CF within prior 90 days %	Trend since Oct-Dec 2011
United States (6/16)										
California		70%	31%		48%		12%		20%	
Los Angeles	LRS	66%	41%		47%		1%		3%	
San Bernardino	C-IV	93%	37%		8%		23%		33%	
Riverside	C-IV	69%	39%		62%		18%		27%	
San Diego	CalWIN	57%	22%		63%		13%		23%	
Orange	CalWIN	61%	20%		56%		11%		21%	
Fresno	CalWIN	87%	15%		58%		15%		27%	
Sacramento	CalWIN	83%	20%		50%		13%		22%	
Kern	C-IV	77%	34%		95%		20%		29%	
Tulare	CalWIN	91%	15%		45%		21%		33%	
San Joaquin	C-IV	92%	34%		73%		18%		27%	
Alameda	CalWIN	64%	26%		54%		11%		21%	
Santa Clara	CalWIN	69%	19%		69%		2%		13%	
Stanislaus	C-IV	87%	39%		67%		19%		29%	
Ventura	CalWIN	83%	24%		66%		16%		27%	
Contra Costa	CalWIN	56%	23%		60%		10%		18%	
Merced	C-IV	74%	33%		56%		19%		29%	
Monterey	C-IV	76%	41%		65%		15%		24%	
San Francisco	CalWIN	51%	25%		38%		10%		18%	
Solano	CalWIN	67%	24%		9%		1%		12%	
Imperial	C-IV	91%	32%		44%		17%		26%	
Santa Barbara	CalWIN	51%	21%		75%		10%		18%	
Sonoma	CalWIN	62%	23%		46%		13%		23%	
Butte	C-IV	60%	40%		60%		15%		22%	
San Mateo	CalWIN	44%	25%		72%		11%		22%	
Madera	C-IV	92%	30%		52%		15%		25%	
Santa Cruz	CalWIN	58%	18%		13%		1%		9%	
Kings	C-IV	69%	37%		47%		21%		30%	
Shasta	C-IV	69%	45%		46%		16%		26%	
Humboldt	C-IV	66%	44%		59%		14%		23%	
Yolo	CalWIN	51%	20%		53%		9%		18%	
San Luis Obispo	CalWIN	41%	22%		60%		8%		17%	
Placer	CalWIN	47%	23%		45%		10%		19%	
Yuba	C-IV	72%	41%		54%		20%		29%	
Sutter	C-IV	59%	39%		71%		17%		25%	
El Dorado	C-IV	65%	47%		70%		12%		20%	
Mendocino	C-IV	69%	44%		60%		21%		33%	
Lake	C-IV	83%	40%		63%		8%		17%	
Marin	C-IV	45%	41%		59%		19%		28%	
Tehama	C-IV	70%	43%		59%		15%		25%	
Nevada	C-IV	43%	43%		46%		11%		18%	
Napa	C-IV	38%	45%		56%		10%		18%	
Siskiyou	C-IV	62%	41%		62%		14%		23%	
San Benito	C-IV	78%	42%		20%		16%		26%	
Tuolumne	C-IV	62%	44%		21%		13%		22%	
Del Norte	C-IV	91%	34%		61%		17%		23%	
Calaveras	C-IV	83%	44%		11%		10%		22%	
Glenn	C-IV	56%	37%		65%		15%		24%	
Amador	C-IV	73%	39%		20%		13%		24%	
Lassen	C-IV	85%	47%		59%		5%		16%	
Plumas	C-IV	59%	42%		55%		11%		19%	
Mariposa	C-IV	69%	41%		66%		9%		15%	
Inyo	C-IV	80%	40%		59%		14%		22%	
Trinity	C-IV	56%	51%		55%		13%		23%	
Colusa	C-IV	40%	54%		96%		10%		19%	
Modoc	C-IV	50%	45%		41%		15%		21%	
Mono	C-IV	69%	63%		77%		6%		12%	
Sierra	C-IV	60%	47%		61%		6%		16%	
Alpine	C-IV	67%	71%		80%		0%		0%	

Row group labels: Large Counties (Participation ≥ 100k); Medium Counties (Participation 20k - 100k); Small Counties (Participation <20k)

Legend: Top 10 performers; Next 10 performers; Best qtr is marked

SF-Marin Food Bank
Data from CalFresh Extranet as of 1/20/2017
For questions, contact Diana Jensen at djensen@sfmfoodbank.org - 3/21/2017 - Page 4

SF-MARIN FOOD BANK